arXiv:1301.0999v1 [math.CO] 6 Jan 2013

A KERNEL FOR INTERPOLATING POSETS

PAUL PONCET

ABSTRACT. To every conditionally-complete poset satisfying the interpolation property, we associate a Scott-continuous kernel retraction, and find a largest continuous kernel retract.

1. INTRODUCTION

A main interest in domain theory is to examine whether some partially ordered set can be embedded in a continuous domain (see e.g. Gierz et al. [1, Exercise III-4.17] on *abstract basis* and *rounded ideal completions*). In this note we go in the opposite direction, for we investigate continuous subposets of a partially ordered set.

A *partially ordered set* or *poset* is a set P equipped with a partial order $\leqslant$, i.e. an antisymmetric, reflexive, transitive binary relation. A poset is *conditionally-complete* if every nonempty subset A bounded above has a supremum, denoted by $\bigvee_P A$ or $\bigvee A$. Throughout this paper, P denotes a conditionally-complete poset.

A nonempty subset D of P is *directed* if, for all $x, y \in D$, one can find $z \in D$ such that $x \leqslant z$ and $y \leqslant z$. We say that $x \in P$ is *way-below* $y \in P$, written $x \ll_P y$ or $x \ll y$, if, for every directed subset D bounded above, with supremum d_0, $y \leqslant d_0$ implies $x \leqslant d$, for some $d \in D$.

The poset P is *continuous* if $x = \bigvee \twoheaddownarrow x$, for all $x \in P$, where $\twoheaddownarrow x := \{y \in P : y \ll x\}$. Note that, since P is conditionally-complete, $\twoheaddownarrow x$ is directed if and only if $\twoheaddownarrow x \neq \emptyset$, and this is always the case if P is continuous. Also, P has the *interpolation property*, or is *interpolating* if, for all $x, y \in P$ with $x \ll y$, there exists some $z \in P$ such that $x \ll z \ll y$. It is well known that every continuous poset is interpolating, see e.g. [1, Theorem I-1.9]. While this property is crucial for deriving many important results of domain theory, little has been done on (not necessarily continuous) interpolating posets. This note is a first contribution in this direction,

---

Date: December 11, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06B35.

Key words and phrases. interpolation property, kernel, continuous poset, domain, conditionally-complete poset, retract, continuous lattice.

for we show that interpolating posets that are conditionally-complete have a largest continuous kernel retract.

2. KERNELS AND LARGEST CONTINUOUS KERNEL RETRACTS

We consider the following subset of a poset P:

$$P^* := \{x \in P : \twoheaddownarrow x \neq \emptyset\}.$$

In P^*, the way-below relation is written $\ll_*$. In the case where P has a least element, we have $P = P^*$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let P be a conditionally-complete poset. Then for all directed subsets D of P bounded above such that $D \cap P^*$ is nonempty, we have that $D \cap P^*$ is directed and $\bigvee D = \bigvee(D \cap P^*)$.*

Proof. Assume that $D \cap P^*$ is nonempty, and let $d_0 \in D \cap P^*$. If $d \in D$, then there exists some $d_1 \in D$ such that $d_0 \leqslant d_1$ and $d \leqslant d_1$. The former inequality gives $d_1 \in D \cap P^*$. Hence $D \subset\downarrow(D \cap P^*)$, so that $\bigvee D \leqslant \bigvee(D \cap P^*)$. Since the reverse inequality is always true we get the equality. $\square$

Corollary 2.2. *Let P be a conditionally-complete poset. Then for all directed subsets D of P^* bounded above, we have $\bigvee_{P^*} D = \bigvee D$.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let P be a conditionally-complete interpolating poset, and let D be a directed subset of P bounded above. Assume that there exists some $y \in P^*$ such that $y \leqslant \bigvee D$. Then $D \cap P^* \neq \emptyset$ (hence $D \cap P^*$ is directed and $\bigvee D = \bigvee(D \cap P^*)$).*

Proof. Let $t \in P$ such that $t \ll y$, which exists since $y \in P^*$. By the interpolation property, this is some s such that $t \ll s \ll y$. Since $y \leqslant \bigvee D$, there is some $d \in D$ such that $s \leqslant d$ by definition of the way-below relation. We deduce that $t \ll d$, so that $d \in D \cap P^*$. $\square$

A *kernel* (on P^*) is a map $j : P^* \to P^*$ such that, for all $x, y \in P^*$:

- $j(j(x)) = j(x)$,
- $j(x) \leqslant j(y)$ when $x \leqslant y$,
- $j(x) \leqslant x$.

A *kernel retraction* (on P^*) is a Scott-continuous map $k : P^* \to Q$ with $Q = \{x \in P^* : k(x) = x\}$ and such that the map $P^* \to P^*, x \mapsto k(x)$ is a kernel. The Scott-continuity refers to the Scott topology (see e.g. [1, Definition II-1.3]) and means here that, for all directed subsets D of P^* bounded above,

- $k(\bigvee D) = \bigvee_Q k(D)$.

The subset Q of P^* is then called a *kernel retract* of P^*.

Also, a subset Q of P is called a *subposet* of P if the way-below relation $\ll_Q$ on Q is the restriction to $Q \times Q$ of the way-below relation on P, i.e. if, for all $x, y \in Q$, x is way-below y in Q if and only if x is way-below y in P.

Lemma 2.4. *Let P be a conditionally-complete interpolating poset. If Q is a kernel retract of P^*, then Q is a conditionally-complete subposet of P. Moreover, $\bigvee_Q D = \bigvee D$, for all nonempty subsets D of Q bounded above.*

Proof. Let j be a kernel retraction associated with the kernel retract Q. If A is a nonempty subset of Q bounded above, then A has a supremum a_0 in P. Pick an element a of A. Since $a \in Q \subset P^*$, there is some $x \in P$ with $x \ll a \leqslant a_0$, thus $x \ll a_0$, so that $\twoheaddownarrow a_0 \neq \emptyset$, i.e. $a_0 \in P^*$. Now, for all $a \in A$, $a_0 \geqslant a$, hence $j(a_0) \geqslant j(a) = a$. This shows that $j(a_0)$ is an upper-bound of A in P. Since $j(a_0) \leqslant a_0$ and a_0 is the least upper-bound of A in P, we obtain $a_0 = j(a_0)$. We deduce that $a_0 \in Q$, i.e. a_0 is the supremum of A in Q. This shows that Q is conditionally-complete.

From these properties, we have the implication $x \ll y \Rightarrow x \ll_Q y$, for all $x, y \in Q$. Let us prove the reverse implication (this will show that Q is a subposet of P). So let $x \ll_Q y$, for $x, y \in Q$. We want to prove that $x \ll y$, so let D be a directed subset of P bounded above such that $y \leqslant \bigvee D$. By Lemma 2.3, $D \cap P^*$ is directed and $\bigvee D = \bigvee (D \cap P^*)$, so that $y = j(y) \leqslant j(\bigvee D) = \bigvee_Q j(D \cap P^*)$. Since $j(D \cap P^*)$ is a directed subset of Q and $x \ll_Q y$, there is some $d \in D \cap P^*$ such that $x \leqslant j(d)$. Since $j(d) \leqslant d$, we deduce that $x \leqslant d$. This proves that $x \ll y$. $\square$

Corollary 2.5. *Let P be a conditionally-complete interpolating poset. Then P^* is a conditionally-complete interpolating subposet of P.*

Proof. Since P^* is trivially a kernel retract of P^*, by the identity kernel retraction, Lemma 2.4 shows that P^* is a conditionally-complete subposet of P, so we only have to show that P^* is interpolating. If $x \ll_* y$ for some $x, y \in P^*$, where $\ll_*$ stands for $\ll_{P^*}$, then $x \ll y$, hence $x \ll z \ll y$ for some $z \in P$. But then $z \geqslant x$, and since $x \in P^*$, so does z. Since P^* is a subposet of P, we get finally $x \ll_* z \ll_* y$. $\square$

Proposition 2.6. *Let P be a conditionally-complete interpolating poset. Then $(P^*)^* = P^*$.*

Proof. To see this, let $x \in P^*$, and let us find some $y \in P^*$ such that $y \ll_* x$, where $\ll_*$ stands for $\ll_{P^*}$. Let $z \ll x$. By the interpolation property, there is some $y \in P$ with $z \ll y \ll x$. Also, $\twoheaddownarrow y \neq \emptyset$, i.e. $y \in P^*$, hence $y \ll_* x$. $\square$

Theorem 2.7. *Let P be a conditionally-complete interpolating poset. Then P^* admits a continuous kernel retract Q (where by a continuous kernel retract we mean a kernel retract that is a continuous poset) that is also the largest continuous subposet of P.*

Proof. Let $k : P^* \to P^*$ be the map defined by

$$k(x) = \bigvee \twoheaddownarrow x,$$

for all $x \in P^*$. Let us show that k is a kernel on P^*, so let $x, y \in P^*$ with $x \leqslant y$. The facts that $k(x) \leqslant x$ and $k(x) \leqslant k(y)$ are clear. To prove that $k(x) \in P^*$, let us show first that the following equivalence holds for all $v \in P$:

$$v \ll x \Leftrightarrow v \ll k(x). \tag{1}$$

So let $v \ll x$. Since P is interpolating, there is some $w \in P$ such that $v \ll w \ll x$, and the definition of k gives $w \leqslant k(x)$, hence $v \ll k(x)$. Conversely, the assertion $v \ll k(x)$ trivially implies $v \ll x$ since $k(x) \leqslant x$. Equivalence (1) implies $\twoheaddownarrow x = \twoheaddownarrow k(x)$, hence $k(x) \in P^*$ and $k(k(x)) = k(x)$.

Now let $Q = k(P^*) = \{x \in P^* : k(x) = x\}$, and let k^o be the corestriction of k to Q, i.e. the map $P^* \to Q, x \mapsto k(x)$. Let us show that k^o is Scott-continuous (this will imply that Q is a kernel retract of P^*). This amounts to show that, if D is a directed subset of P^* bounded above, with supremum $d_0 = \bigvee D \in P^*$, then $k(d_0) = \bigvee_Q k(D)$. If $x \ll d_0$, there is some $d \in D$ such that $x \ll d$ (using the definition of the way-below relation and the interpolation property). This implies that $x \ll k(d)$ by the equivalence (1), hence $x \leqslant \bigvee k(D)$. Using the definition of k we conclude that $k(d_0) \leqslant \bigvee k(D)$, and since the converse inequality is trivially true, we get the equality. Recall that, by Lemma 2.4, $\bigvee k(D) = \bigvee_Q k(D)$, so that $k(d_0) = \bigvee_Q k(D)$. Thus, we have proved that k^o (and k) is (are) Scott-continuous.

For Q to be a continuous poset, it remains to show that, for all $x \in Q$, $\twoheaddownarrow_Q x$ is nonempty and admits x as supremum in Q. But if $x \in Q$, then $x \in P^* = (P^*)^*$ by Proposition 2.6, so that $\twoheaddownarrow x \cap P^*$ is nonempty. Thus, we can apply Lemma 2.1 to the directed subset $D = \twoheaddownarrow x$, and we get $\bigvee \twoheaddownarrow x = \bigvee(\twoheaddownarrow x \cap P^*)$. Thus, $x = k(x) = k(k(x)) = k(\bigvee \twoheaddownarrow x) = k(\bigvee(\twoheaddownarrow x \cap P^*))$. By Scott-continuity of k and the fact that $\twoheaddownarrow x \cap P^*$ is a directed subset of P^* bounded above, we get $x = \bigvee_Q k(\twoheaddownarrow x \cap P^*)$. Also, remark that $k(\twoheaddownarrow x \cap P^*) \subset \twoheaddownarrow x \cap Q$. Since Q is a subposet of P (Lemma 2.4) we have $\twoheaddownarrow x \cap Q = \twoheaddownarrow_Q x$, so that $\twoheaddownarrow_Q x \neq \emptyset$ and $x \leqslant \bigvee_Q \twoheaddownarrow_Q x$. The reverse inequality is trivially satisfied, hence $x = \bigvee_Q \twoheaddownarrow_Q x$.

To show that Q is the largest continuous subposet of P, let R be a continuous subposet of P and let us show that $R \subset Q$. Then R satisfies

$\twoheaddownarrow_R x = \twoheaddownarrow x \cap R$. Since R is continuous, $x = \bigvee_R \twoheaddownarrow_R x$, so using Lemma 2.4 we deduce that $x = \bigvee \twoheaddownarrow x \cap R$. This implies that $x = \bigvee \twoheaddownarrow x = k(x)$, i.e. $x \in Q$. This proves that $R \subset Q$. □

Remark 2.8. The fact that Q is continuous implies in particular $Q^* = Q$.

Remark 2.9. By [1, Proposition O-3.12(iii)], the corestriction $k^\circ : P^* \to Q$ of k to Q preserves arbitrary existing infima. In particular, $\bigwedge_Q A = k(\bigwedge_* A)$, for all nonempty $A \subset k(A)$ with a lower bound in P^*, where $\bigwedge_* A$ (resp. $\bigwedge_Q A$) denotes the infimum of A in P^* (resp. in Q).

Inspired by [1, Theorem I-2.15], we conclude with the following result.

Proposition 2.10. *Let P be a conditionally-complete interpolating poset, and let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation defined in P^* by $x \sim y \Leftrightarrow k(x) = k(y)$. Then the quotient map $\pi : P^* \to P^*/\sim$ is Lawson-continuous, and $Q = k(P^*)$ is isomorphic to the quotient set $P^*/\sim$.*

Proof. The corestriction $k^\circ : P^* \to Q$ of k to Q factors canonically through the quotient map $\pi : P^* \to \Pi$, where Π denotes the quotient set $P^*/\sim$, with a bijection $f : \Pi \to Q$, i.e. $f(\pi(x)) = k^\circ(x) = k(x)$, for all $x \in P^*$. Transporting the order structure of Q to Π by f^{-1}, we get for Π a conditionally-complete interpolating poset, and π is Lawson-continuous since k° preserves existing infima by Remark 2.9 and existing directed suprema. □

Acknowledgements. I would like to gratefully thank Marianne Akian, whose numerous remarks notably improved the manuscript.

REFERENCES

[1] Gerhard Gierz, Karl Heinrich Hofmann, Klaus Keimel, Jimmie D. Lawson, Michael W. Mislove, and Dana S. Scott. *Continuous lattices and domains*, volume 93 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

CMAP, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, ROUTE DE SACLAY, 91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX, FRANCE, AND INRIA, SACLAY–ÎLE-DE-FRANCE
E-mail address: `poncet@cmap.polytechnique.fr`